

United States Patent and Trademark Office

9

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,490	12/12/2003	Matthias Krull	2002DE444	1878
7590 11/15/2007 Clariant Corporation Industrial Property Department			EXAMINER	
			TOOMER, CEPHIA D	
4000 Monroe Road Charlotte, NC 28205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
	•			
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/735,490	KRULL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cephia D. Toomer	1797			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (6), cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A	ugust 2007.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 19-30</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

10/735,490 Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 24, 2207 has been entered.
- 2. The rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph is withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-14, 16 17, and 19-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (US 5,906,663) in view of Murakami (US 5,730,762).

Brown teaches a fuel oil composition having improved low temperature properties wherein the composition comprises an ethylene/vinyl acetate/vinyl carboxylate having a tertiary alkyl group of 8 or more carbon atoms (see abstract; col. 2,

Application/Control Number:

10/735,490 Art Unit: 1797

lines 6-25; col. 4, lines 39-41). Vinyl acetate is Applicant's formula 3 monomer, and vinyl carboxylate is Applicant's formula 2 monomer.

The terpolymer has a molecular weight in the range of 3,000 to 20,000 (see col. 3, lines 61-66). The vinyl acetate, vinyl carboxylate and additional monomers which may be present in the polymer represent 2.3 to 35 molar percent of the polymer. The additional monomer may be an olefin. The vinyl acetate and vinyl carboxylate are present in a molar percentage of 1-9 (vinyl acetate) and 4-13 (vinyl carboxylate) (see col. 3, lines 57-60; col. 3, lines 18-32). The degree of branching of the terpolymer is preferably 6 CH₃ groups/100 CH₂ units (see col. 4, lines 1-4). Since Brown teaches the same terpolymers as of the present invention, it would be reasonable to expect that the melt viscosity of the terpolymer would be the same or similar to that of claims 8 and 26, absent evidence to the contrary.

Brown teaches that additional copolymers may be present in the fuel oil composition and that these copolymers comprise ethylene vinyl ester copolymers (see col. 4, lines 10-19).

Brown teaches that fuel oil may be a middle distillate fuel having a boiling point within the range of 100 °C to about 500 °C (see col. 5, lines 24-43).

Co-additives may be present in combination with the terpolymers. Such additives include additional cold flow improvers such as comb polymers, polar nitrogen compounds and polyoxyalkylene compounds (see col. 6, lines 15-20). Brown teaches the limitations of the claims other than the differences that are discussed below.

10/735,490

Art Unit: 1797

In the first aspect, Brown differs from the claims in that he does not teach that the fuel oil has a sulfur content of at most 350 ppm, aromatics content of at most 22%, a density of less than 0.84, a 90-20% boiling range of less than 110 °C and a paraffin content of more than 3% by weight (claims 1, 9-12 and 27-30). However, Murakami teaches these differences.

Murakami teaches a gas oil obtained by subjecting paraffin containing crude oil to atmospheric distillation and hydrogenation (see abstract; col. 1, lines 56-60). This teaching suggests the claimed percentage of paraffins. In the examples of col. 3 and 4, Murakami exemplifies oils wherein the sulfur content is less than 350 ppm and the aromatics content is less than 22.

In Table 1, Murakami exemplifies oil wherein the density is less than 0.84 g/cm and the 90-20% boiling range is less than 110 °C.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art too have used the claimed fuel oil because Brown teaches that the fuel oil of his invention has a boiling point within the range of 100-500 °C and is suitable for use in cold districts and Murakami teaches that his oils are suitable for use in cold districts and that such oils have the required physical parameters as set forth in the present claims.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown 3. and Murakami as applied to claims above, and further in view of WO 9314178.

Brown has been discussed above. Brown fails to teach the addition of at least one alkylphenol-aldehyde resin. However, WO teaches this difference.

Application/Control Number:

10/735,490 Art Unit: 1797

WO teaches an additive composition for improving the cold flow properties of fuel oil comprising an alkylphenol-aldehyde resin (see abstract; page 4, lines 9-11; page 5, lines 14-16).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include an alkylphenol-aldehyde resin because WO teaches that demulsifiers such as alkylphenol-aldehyde resins improve the cold flow properties of fuel oils (see page 2, lines 1-5).

3. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that unexpected results are obtained when the comonomer (b) has a tertiary-branched radical.

Brown specifically teaches that the terpolymer of his invention contains a comonomer that has a tertiary-branched radical (see col. 6, lines 35-45). Brown uses his terpolymer in a fuel oil composition that is similar to that of the present invention and he teaches that the terpolymer improves the CFPP of the fuel. Thus, Applicant has not rebutted the prima facie case of obviousness.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 571-272-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/735,490 Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797

10735490\20071112